

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 2004
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S032146

PEOPLE v. DANKS (JOSEPH)

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

Majority Opinion by Brown, J.

--- joined by Baxter, Werdegar and Chin, JJ.

Concurring & Dissenting Opinion by

Kennard, J.,

---- joined by George, C.J.,

Concurring & Dissenting Opinion by Moreno, J.

--- joined by George, C.J.

S111998D038326 Fourth Appellate District,
Division One

CASA HERRERA INC. v. BEYDOUN

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

Majority opinion by Brown, J.

--- joined by George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter,
Werdegar, Chin, Moreno JJ.**S043628**

PEOPLE v. CARRINGTON (CELESTE)

Extension of time granted

to April 5, 2004 to file respondent's brief.

S119666

PADILLA (ALFREDO ALVARADO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to March 1, 2004 to file informal response.

After that date, only one further extension
totaling about 15 additional days is

contemplated. Extension granted based upon

Deputy Attorney General Rachelle Newcomb's
representation that she anticipates filing the brief
by 3-15-2004.**S113136**

C037254 Third Appellate District

BRONCO WINE COMPANY v. ESPINOZA

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

Thomas W. Beimers, of the District of Columbia
to appear on behalf of petitioners Bronco Wine
Company et al.,

S054291PEOPLE v. LEONARD (ERIC)
Order filed

Appellant's "Application for Leave to File Appellant's Opening Brief in Excess of Word Count Limit Established in Rule 36 of the California Rules of Court" is granted.

S120133LONGANBACH ON DISCIPLINE
Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **PETER J. LONGANBACH, State Bar No. 48988**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on August 28, 2003. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Credit toward the period of actual suspension must be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on January 7, 2002 (In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270). Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

Bar Misc. 4186

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE
COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE
STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION
OF ATTORNEYS

The written motion of the Committee of Bar
Examiners that the following named
applicants, who have fulfilled the
requirements for admission to practice law
in the State of California, be admitted to the
practice of law in this state is hereby
granted, with permission to the applicants to
take the oath before a competent officer at
another time and place:
(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO
ORIGINAL ORDER)